

UNITED STATES DEI MENT OF COMMERCE 5 1996
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICAN	IT.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/187,675 01/27/	94 AUCT	1,	K1994001
		BANAN	EXAMINERI //
W.A. KONNAMAN, JR.	83M1/0206		10
THE CORPORATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INTELLECTUAL PROPER	RTY LAW. SIBAZOSS		· 7
NEIGHBORHOOD ROAD		231)	<u> </u>
KINGSION, NY 12401		DATE MAILED:	02/06/96
Below is a communication	n from the EXAMINER in charge of this ap	oplication Q	e 1-17-96
	IER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	,000	- 1 // /+

ADVISORY ACTION		
d	THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a)	₫	is extended to run
b)		expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
		Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
$\Box$		pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
4	Apr to p	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{J_{CON}-2.1}{cond}$ , $\frac{M_{CON}}{M_{CON}}$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1.	丞	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
		a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
		d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
2. ,	B	NOTE: A mendpoint to close I includes liver to from closery of and 10 an
3.	ď	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 🗹 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
		Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1 - 4
4.		The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5.		The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
_	The Oth	proposed drawing correction  has has not been approved by the examiner.